UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,033	01/08/2008 Wei-Chiang Shen		89188.0151	5935
²⁶⁰²¹ HOGAN & HA	7590 03/17/200 RTSON L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
	OF THE STARS	CHANDRA, GYAN		
SUITE 1400 LOS ANGELE	S, CA 90067		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		<i>A</i>	Application No.	lication No. Applicant(s)				
			10/575,033		SHEN ET AL.			
		E	Examiner		Art Unit			
		0	GYAN CHANDRA	4	1646			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	nication appea	rs on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress		
WHIC - Exten after 9 - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum si the to reply within the set or extended period for reply paply received by the Office later than three months digitally patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. tatutory period will a y will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS CC a). In no event, howe apply and will expire suse the application to	MMUNICATION over, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 11 April	1 2008					
•	•		ction is non-fina	al				
—		<i>7</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is		
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	·	ioo anaon Ex p	parto Quayro,		0.0.210.			
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the	application.						
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	are withdrawn	from considera	ation.				
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> are subject to restrict	ion and/or ele	ction requirem	ent.				
Application	on Papers							
9)□ -	Γhe specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner						
			ted or b)□ obi	ected to by the E	Examiner.			
· ·	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
	The oath or declaration is objected to		•			, ,		
·	•	o by the Exam	illitor. Noto tito		A COLOTT OF TOTAL	10 102.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fration Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	5)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te			

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group 1, claim(s) 1-11, drawn to a polypeptide comprising a granulocyte colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) domain operably linked to a transferrin (Tf) domain and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.

Group 2, claim(s) 12-18, drawn to a nucleic acid encoding a polypeptide comprising a granulocyte colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) domain operably linked to a transferrin (Tf) domain, a cell comprising the nucleic acid, a method of producing the polypeptide, a composition comprising the nucleic acid encoding the polypeptide and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.

Group 3, claim(s) 19-24, drawn to a method of enhancing transport of a polypeptide or G-CSF into or across a GI epithelial cell comprising contacting a GI epithelial cell with said G-CSF or polypeptide.

Group 4, claim(s) 25-28, drawn to a method of enhancing production of circulating neutrophils in a subject comprising administering a polypeptide comprising a granulocyte colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) domain operably linked to a transferrin (Tf) domain and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.

Group 5, claim(s) 29-30, drawn to a method of enhancing production of circulating neutrophils in a subject comprising administering a nucleic acid that encodes a polypeptide comprising a granulocyte colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) domain operably linked to a transferrin (Tf) domain and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,033

Art Unit: 1646

The inventions listed as Groups 1-5 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Page 3

- A. Group 1, requires the special technical feature of a polypeptide comprising a granulocyte colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) domain operably linked to a transferrin (Tf) domain and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier. The specification on page 9 discloses that "G-CSF domain" includes a wild-type human G-CSF. Widera et al. (Pharm. Res. Vol. 20: 1231-1238, 2003, published in August, 2003) teach a fusion protein comprising human G-CSF and a transferrin (Tf) (page 1232, preparation of Tf-G-CSF conjugate). They teach purifying the conjugate and eluting in PBS buffer (Fig. 4) which was suitable for using in TFR-mediated transport in rat alveolar cells (page 1233), which meets the limitation of a composition comprising a pharmaceutical carrier. Therefore, Group 1 lacks a special technical feature and cannot share one with the other products of Group 2.
- B. Group 2, requires the special technical feature of a nucleic acid encoding a polypeptide comprising a granulocyte colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) domain operably linked to a transferrin (Tf) domain, a cell comprising the nucleic acid, a method of producing the polypeptide, a composition comprising the nucleic acid encoding the polypeptide and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier, which is not required for the product of Group 1.
- C. Group 3, requires the special technical feature of enhancing transport of a polypeptide or G-CSF into or across a GI epithelial cell comprising contacting a GI epithelial cell with said polypeptide or G-CSF, which is not required for the methods of Groups 4-5.
- D. Group 4, requires the special technical feature of enhancing production of circulating neutrophils in a subject comprising administering a polypeptide comprising a granulocyte colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) domain operably linked to a transferrin (Tf) domain and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier, which is not required for the methods of Group 3 and 5.
- E. Group 5, requires the special technical feature of enhancing production of circulating neutrophils in a subject comprising administering a nucleic acid that encodes a polypeptide comprising a granulocyte colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) domain operably linked to a transferrin (Tf) domain and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier, which is not required for the methods of Groups 3-4.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,033

Art Unit: 1646

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Page 4

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

(a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;

recognized divergent subject matter;

(c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching

different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different

search queries);

(d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to

another invention;

(e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C.

101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement

may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing

the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right

to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be

treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of

election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will

result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the

election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected

invention.

Art Unit: 1646

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/575,033 Page 7

Art Unit: 1646

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to GYAN CHANDRA whose telephone number is

(571)272-2922. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gary Nickol can be reached on (571) 272-0835. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gyan Chandra/ Examiner, Art Unit 1646

12 March 2009

Fax: 571-273-2922